

LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS No. 7

THIRD SESSION, FORTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE

PRAYER AND LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

1:30 O'CLOCK P.M.

Hon. Min. SCHMIDT, the Minister of Education and Early Childhood Learning, made a statement regarding Colleen Lussier.

Mrs. HIEBERT commented on the statement.

Pursuant to sub-rule 28(1), Hon. Min. MOYES, Mr. SCHULER, MLA LAMOUREUX and Messrs. NARTH and WASYLIW made Member's statements.

The following petitions were presented and read to the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba:

Mr. Khan – To urge the Provincial Government to support the investment and placement of an MRI machine in the Portage Regional Health Facility in Portage la Prairie, Manitoba.

Mrs. Cook – To urge the Provincial Government to install a sidewalk or shoulder with a turning lane to facilitate safe pedestrian and cyclist traffic along Highway 1 westbound from Dodds Road to Bobiche Street.

 $Mr.\ BALCAEN-To\ urge\ the\ Provincial\ Government\ to\ immediately\ put\ forward\ a\ plan\ to\ increase\ breast\ cancer\ screening\ capacity\ and\ lower\ the\ breast\ cancer\ screening\ age\ to\ 40.$

MLA BEREZA – To urge the Provincial Government to support the investment and placement of an MRI machine in the Portage Regional Health Facility in Portage la Prairie, Manitoba.

Ms. BYRAM – To urge the Provincial Government to fully implement recommendations in the *Bridging the Gaps* report and immediately implement official policies and procedures that are more respectful and collaborative which also minimize harm faced by families seeking help from Children's disABILITY Services; and to urge the Minister of Families to arrange for a full review of employment supports provided by Children's disABILITY Services for children with disabilities aged 12 to 17, including direct consultation with impacted families, and to explore a full spectrum of options to support families, empowering them to choose solutions that best fit their needs.

Mr. GOERTZEN – To urge the Provincial Government to support the investment and placement of an MRI machine in the Portage Regional Health Facility in Portage la Prairie, Manitoba.

Mr. EWASKO – To urge the Provincial Government to take immediate and decisive action on bail reform to address serious deficits in enforcement by utilizing all available provincial mechanisms to strengthen warrant enforcement, increasing bail supervision, and opposing release of offenders, thus ensuring that repeat violent offenders are held accountable and that public safety is prioritized over leniency; and to lobby the Federal Government to immediately repeal provisions of the Criminal Code that allow for the continued victimization of law-abiding Manitobans while granting repeat offenders additional rights.

Mr. GUENTER – To urge the Provincial Government to lobby the Federal Government to stop the expansion of medical assistance in dying to those for whom mental illness is the sole condition; and to protect Canadians struggling with mental illness by facilitating treatment, recovery, and medical assistance in living, not death.

Mrs. HIEBERT – To urge the Provincial Government to cancel drug-injection sites in the Point Douglas community, including the proposed location at 200 Disraeli Freeway; and to legislate that no future site will be proposed without community support.

Mr. JOHNSON – To urge the Minister of Environment and Climate Change and the Minister of Transportation and Infrastructure to immediately allocate funding to rebuild Highway 8 and Hecla Village Road to ensure that they are safe, dependable, well maintained and restored to a condition that will not deter tourists.

Mr. KING – To urge the Provincial Government to take immediate and decisive action on bail reform to address serious deficits in enforcement by utilizing all available provincial mechanisms to strengthen warrant enforcement, increasing bail supervision, and opposing release of offenders, thus ensuring that repeat violent offenders are held accountable and that public safety is prioritized over leniency; and to lobby the Federal Government to immediately repeal provisions of the Criminal Code that allow for the continued victimization of law-abiding Manitobans while granting repeat offenders additional rights.

Mr. NARTH – To urge the Minister of Transportation and Infrastructure to prioritize the reconstruction of Provincial Road 210; and to urge the Provincial Government to include the stretch of Provincial Road 210 from Woodridge to Highway 12 in its reconstruction plans.

Mr. NESBITT – To urge the Provincial Government to support the investment and placement of an MRI machine in the Portage Regional Health Facility in Portage la Prairie, Manitoba.

Mr. Perchotte – To urge the Provincial Government to support Manitoba small businesses through specific funding to reimburse the expenses and insurance deductibles that they incur as a result of crime.

Mrs. ROBBINS – To urge the Provincial Government to address the conditions of Provincial Trunk Highway 34, making the necessary upgrades to RTAC standard and to resurface the road once the new bridge has been completed.

Mr. SCHULER – To urge the Provincial Government to take immediate and decisive action on bail reform to address serious deficits in enforcement by utilizing all available provincial mechanisms to strengthen warrant enforcement, increasing bail supervision, and opposing release of offenders, thus ensuring that repeat violent offenders are held accountable and that public safety is prioritized over leniency; and to lobby the Federal Government to immediately repeal provisions of the Criminal Code that allow for the continued victimization of law-abiding Manitobans while granting repeat offenders additional rights.

Mrs. Stone – To urge the Provincial Government to cancel drug-injection sites in the Point Douglas community, including the proposed location at 200 Disraeli Freeway; and to legislate that no future site will be proposed without community support.

Mr. Wharton – To urge the Provincial Government to support the investment and placement of an MRI machine in the Portage Regional Health Facility in Portage la Prairie, Manitoba.

Mr. WOWCHUK – To urge the Minister of Transportation and Infrastructure to take the necessary steps to upgrade Provincial Trunk Highway 45 from Russell to Provincial Trunk Highway 10 to meet RTAC standards.

Pursuant to sub-rule 47(2), the debate on the Address in Reply to the Speech from the Throne was interrupted by the Government House Leader in order to call Government Business.

Hon. Min. FONTAINE moved:

THAT the following Sessional Order applies for the duration of the Forty-Third Legislature, despite any other Rule or practice of this House.

Ethics Report Motions

- 1. Ethics Commissioner Reports dealing with a request for an opinion from the Ethics Commissioner (hereafter referred to as a "request") regarding another Member (or former Member) under Section 44(1) of *The Conflict of Interest (Members and Ministers) Act*, shall be considered by the Assembly through debate on an Ethics Report Motion.
- 2. In accordance with Section 51(1) of *The Conflict of Interest (Members and Ministers) Act*, Ethics Report Motions must be considered by the Assembly within 10 sitting days after the report is tabled.
- 3. The tabling of a report from the Ethics Commissioner by the Speaker shall serve as notice of the Ethics Report Motion, which shall then appear on the *Order Paper* the next sitting day under the heading "Ethics Report Motions" and shall be listed without referencing a sponsoring Member.

- 4. On the tenth sitting day after an Ethics Commissioner Report has been tabled (hereafter referred to as a "Deadline Day"):
 - a) The Speaker shall call for debate any Ethics Report Motion that must be considered that day in accordance with *The Conflict of Interest (Members and Ministers) Act* (hereafter referred to as an "outstanding Ethics Report Motion"), with multiple outstanding Ethics Report Motions called in the order those motions are listed on the *Order Paper*; and
 - b) If Routine Proceedings has not concluded 60 minutes prior to the usual adjournment hour, the Speaker must terminate Routine Proceedings and proceed to Orders of the Day; and
 - c) The House shall not adjourn until the questions have been put on all outstanding Ethics Report Motions.
- 5. If an Ethics Commissioner Report contains recommendations regarding two or more Members (or former Members):
 - a) A separate Ethics Report Motion will be required to consider the recommendation for each Member (or former Member), and all motions must be considered by the Deadline Day.
 - b) Ethics Report Motions originating from the same report may be considered on separate sitting days.
- 6. An Ethics Report Motion shall be considered as the first item of business under Orders of the Day Government Business, with the following exceptions:
 - a) Consideration of an Opposition Day Motion shall take precedence and be considered as the first item of business under Orders of the Day.
 - b) If the consideration of an Opposition Day Motion is scheduled for a Deadline Day, consideration of any outstanding Ethics Report Motion(s) shall commence as soon as the question has been put on the Opposition Day Motion, and the House shall not adjourn until the question(s) have been put on the outstanding Ethics Report Motion(s).
 - c) If a Deadline Day falls on a sitting day scheduled for the consideration of:
 - i. the motion for an Address in Reply to the Speech from the Throne;
 - ii. the motion to approve in general the budgetary policy of the Government; or
 - iii. a Constitutional Amendment Motion:

those matters shall be considered until the usual hour of adjournment, at which point the House will consider the outstanding Ethics Report Motion(s) and not adjourn until the questions have been put on all of those motions.

In accordance with Rules 35(6) and 47(2), the Government House Leader may also interrupt debate on the Budget motion or the Address in Reply to the Speech from the Throne for the consideration of an outstanding Ethics Motion, if both interruption days have not been used.

- 7. If a Deadline Day coincides with any of the completion days in Rule 2 related to Specified Bills, Designated Bills, or the Business of Supply set out in sub-rule 76(1) including *The Appropriation Act* and *The Budget Implementation and Tax Statutes Amendment Act*, consideration of the outstanding Ethics Report Motion will take precedence and must be concluded before the House proceeds with other business. The House shall not adjourn until the questions have been put on the outstanding Ethics Report Motion(s) and any relevant completion day items referenced in Rule 2.
- 8. Debate on an Ethics Report Motion shall proceed as follows:
 - a) Debate shall be limited to one sitting day. The House shall not adjourn until all Members have had an opportunity to speak to the motion. When there are no further speakers in the debate, the Speaker shall put the question.

- b) The Speaker shall read the motion to the House to open the floor for debate.
- c) The motion cannot be amended.
- d) No Member shall speak longer than 10 minutes.
- e) Members may speak to the motion in the following sequence:
 - i. the subject of the request, or a Member from their Party;
 - ii. the Member who made the request, or a Member from their Party;
 - iii. a Member from another Recognized Party;
 - iv. a Member from the subject of the request's Party;
 - v. a Member from the party of the Member who made the request;
 - vi. an Independent Member;
 - vii. a rotation of Members from Recognized Parties, followed by an Independent Member.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendments

- 9. After adoption by the House, this Sessional Order may be amended only by:
 - a) unanimous consent of the House;
 - b) passage of a subsequent Sessional Order by the House; or

And the Question being put. It was agreed to.

c) written agreement of all House Leaders if the House is not sitting.

Hon. Mr. WIEBE mov	ed:
	The Non-Consensual Distribution of Intimate Images Amendment Act/Loution non consensuelle des images intimes, be now read a Second Time and this House.
And a debate arising,	
And Hon, Mr. WIEBE	having spoken.

And Ms. BYRAM and Mrs. HIEBERT having questioned the Minister,

And the debate continuing,

And Ms. BYRAM having spoken,

And Mrs. Cook speaking at 5:00 p.m. The debate was allowed to remain in their name.

The House then adjourned at 5:00 p.m. until 1:30 p.m. Thursday, November 27, 2025.

Hon. Tom LINDSEY, Speaker.