

## LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA

## VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS

## THIRD SESSION, THIRTY-NINTH LEGISLATURE

PRAYER 1:30 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following Bills were severally read a First Time and had their purposes outlined:

(No. 212) – The Waste Reduction and Prevention Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur la réduction du volume et de la production des déchets

(Hon. Mr. GERRARD)

(No. 213) – The Greenhouse Gas Emissions Reporting Act/Loi sur l'obligation de faire rapport des émissions de gaz à effet de serre

(Hon. Mr. GERRARD)

(No. 218) – The Balanced Budget, Fiscal Management and Taxpayer Accountability Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur l'équilibre budgétaire, la gestion financière et l'obligation de rendre compte aux contribuables

(Mr. BOROTSIK)

(No. 221) – The Crown Corporations Public Review and Accountability Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur l'examen public des activités des corporations de la Couronne et l'obligation redditionnelle de celles-ci

(Mr. Borotsik)

The following petitions were presented and read:

Mr. DYCK – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to request the Minister of Education, Citizenship and Youth to consider providing the necessary facilities to Garden Valley School Division and to consider providing Garden Valley School Division an immediate date as to when to expect the necessary school facilities. (L. Penner, M. Dudgeon, K. Giesbrecht and others)

Mr. LAMOUREUX – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Premier and his NDP Government to cooperate in uncovering the truth in why the Government did not act on what it knew and to consider calling a public inquiry on the Crocus Fund Fiasco. (E. Kogan, I. Kogan, M. Kogan and others)

\_\_\_\_\_

## Wednesday, November 26, 2008

Hon. Ms. McGifford presented:
Annual Report of the Red River College for the year ending June 30, 2008.  (Sessional Paper No. 4)
Annual Report of the Assiniboine Community College for the year ending June 30, 2008.  (Sessional Paper No. 5
Annual Report of the University College of the North for the year ending June 30, 2008.  (Sessional Paper No. 6)
During Oral Questions, Mr. Speaker interjected and requested that the comments spoken by the Honourable Member for River East be withdrawn.
WHEREUPON Mrs. MITCHELSON withdrew her remarks.
Pursuant to Rule 26(1), Messrs. FAURSCHOU, NEVAKSHONOFF, CULLEN and ALTEMEYER and Hon. Mr. GERRARD made Members' Statements.
By leave, the House reverted to "Introduction of Bills".
On motion of Hon. Mr. ASHTON, Bill (No. 4) – The Community Revitalization Tax Increment Financing Act/Loi sur le financement fiscal de la revitalisation urbaine, was read a First Time and had in purposes outlined.
The House resumed the Interrupted Debate on the Proposed Motion of Ms. SELBY:
THAT the following address be presented to His Honour the Lieutenant Governor:
We, the Members of the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba thank your Honour for the gracious speech addressed to us at this Third Session of the Thirty-Ninth Legislature of Manitoba.
And the proposed amendment moved by Mr. MCFADYEN as follows:
THAT the motion be amended by adding at the end the following words:
But this House regrets:

- (a) That the Government's Throne Speech fails to acknowledge that provinces, nations and civilizations rise and fall on the strength or weakness of their economies; and
- (b) That the Speech fails to recognize that a strong economy is a pre-requisite for a united province that offers safe communities, effective healthcare, first class education systems, leading-edge environmental protection, healthy families and communities and the safety net programs that protect our seniors, the poor and the vulnerable; and
- (c) That the Speech fails to take into account that for the past nine years, and particularly since the brief downturn that followed the 9/11 terror attacks, the government has failed to do enough to build Manitoba's economy and protect its finances; and
- (d) That those failures and missed opportunities include the catastrophically wasteful directive to Manitoba Hydro to waste a minimum of \$640 million (or \$535 for every man, woman and child in Manitoba) on the longer, less reliable, less green west side transmission corridor and the self-serving Bill 37 "vote tax", which will take \$1 million from taxpayers over four years and put it in the pocket of the New Democratic Party;
- (e) That as a result of the Government's failure to apply the record revenue increases it has enjoyed over the past nine years to more aggressively pay down debt, Manitoba's total debt has gone up when it should have gone down, and our total debt, including crown corporations, is now approaching \$20 billion, or \$16,600 for every man, woman and child in Manitoba; and
- (f) That as a further consequence of the Government's failure to fix our financial roof while the sun was shining, the fiscal stabilization fund, our savings account, has only \$670,215,000 available for general purposes, which is a mere \$559 for every man, woman and child in Manitoba. By contrast, Saskatchewan now has almost \$2 billion in deposits, triple the protection that is available to Manitobans; and
- (g) That as a result of the Government's failures and missed opportunities, the total debt is now 30 times higher than the balance in our savings account; and
- (h) That as a result of the Government's failure to build our economic engine during good times, Manitoba now relies on transfer and equalization to the tune of 37% of our income, which has increased from the 1999 level of dependency of 28%. This has created an unacceptable level of risk and vulnerability for Manitoba's finances as the sources of those transfers provinces like Alberta, B.C., Saskatchewan, Ontario and Newfoundland experience their own economic challenges; and
- (i) That as a result of the cumulative effect of nine years of failure, drift and poor to mediocre results, Manitoba enters this new and uncertain phase in our history with a balanced and diverse economy that is burdened by provincial finances that are limited by new debt and new dependency; and

- (j) That Manitobans expected, and deserve, a Throne Speech that would set out a vision for the economy of the future, building on traditional areas of strength such as agriculture, food sciences, aerospace, mining and transportation and also daring to envision new opportunities in manufacturing, clean energy research and development and modern transportation built on clean electricity, all of which could flourish around a new, state-of-the-art Inland Port, which has been tepidly endorsed and reluctantly advanced by the Government; and
- (k) That Manitobans expected, and deserve, a Throne Speech that strongly addressed their current fears and anxieties about the security of their pensions in this post-Crocus environment and the security of their jobs in the context of a global downturn, but instead received vague and unconvincing assurances that everything will be fine; and
- (l) That Manitobans expected, and deserved, a Throne Speech that painted a clear picture of the economy of 2012 and beyond, and an energetic plan to get us to the new Manitoba, but instead received a reheated serving of old and as yet unfulfilled promises; and
- (m) That the Official Opposition asked for a plan, with clear priorities and a commitment to better results, but instead received a spending list with no sense as whether the spending promises advertised as a bridge over troubled economic waters was bridge to somewhere, or a bridge to nowhere; and
- (n) The Speech failed to set out a vision for growth that would ensure that current spending would be paid for by new growth, as opposed to what the Government is offering, which is more debt, lower savings and diminished hope;
- (o) The Speech contains many positive steps unrelated to the economy, such as protecting children from second-hand smoke and more promises related to the environment, which are commendable goals that, are shared by the Official Opposition. However, the failure to address the overarching issue of our time our economic security means that the Official Opposition will support many of the specific initiatives in the Speech while not endorsing the Speech itself; and
- (p) The ongoing failure on the part of the Premier and Government Ministers to accept responsibility for systemic failures and broken promises in Healthcare, Child and Family Services, Public Safety and the Economy leaves Manitobans with little confidence that the newest round of promises and announcements will be fulfilled with any better results than promises of the past such as hallway medicine, fixing Child and Family Services, the collapsed Maple Leaf Distillers and Ainsworth Lumber deals and the others in the growing litany of failures; and
- (q) The Speech failed to paint a picture of a better future based on the shared commitment and energy of our diverse population of First Nations and Metis peoples and the succeeding waves of immigrants who have built our province together. Furthermore, the Speech failed to address the specific issues and concerns of new immigrants, as well as our First Nations and Metis people. They, and all Manitobans, have been let down by a Government who has again announced hundreds of millions in new spending in order to get short-term headlines, rather than summon our people to a higher purpose, a stronger province and a more hopeful future.
- AND AS A CONSEQUENCE, THE GOVERNMENT HAS THEREBY lost the trust and confidence of the people of Manitoba and this House.

And the proposed sub-amendment moved by Hon. Mr. GERRARD as follows:

THAT the Amendment be amended by adding the following after clause (q):

But this House further regrets:

- (r) That the Speech does not provide a coherent plan to address the plethora of health care problems, such as the diabetes epidemic sweeping the province, over burdened health care professionals and lengthy wait times; and
- (s) The Speech does not provide a coherent plan to identify the growing number of children with Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder and to improve the design and effectiveness of programs to prevent and treat FASD; and
- (t) The Speech fails to deal with the need to ensure adequate numbers of health care professionals and adequate health care for those in rural Manitoba; and
- (u) The Speech fails to provide Manitoba's agricultural sector with a coherent plan to assist producers in times of financial hardship or to transition into more environmentally sustainable practices; and
- (v) That the Speech fails to recognize the importance of Lake Winnipeg to all Manitobans by not providing a strategy that will keep Lake Winnipeg environmentally and economically viable for generations to come; and
- (w) That the Speech fails to recognize the urgent need for the Government to invest in green energy which will promote job creation and growth in these uncertain economic times; and
- (x) That the impending economic turmoil will have the greatest effect on the most vulnerable people in Manitoba and the Speech does not provide a framework as to how the Government will assist those who live in poverty, are low-income earners, are seniors, children or those who have debilitating physical and mental disabilities.

And the debate continuing on the sub-amendment,

And Messrs. DYCK, SARAN and CULLEN, Ms. BRICK, Mr. PEDERSEN and Hon. Ms. MELNICK having spoken.

And Mr. FAURSCHOU speaking at 5:00 p.m.	The debate was al	llowed to remain	in his name

The House then adjourned at 5:00 p.m. until 1:30 p.m. Thursday, November 27, 2008.

Hon. George HICKES, Speaker.