PRACTICES WITH POTENTIAL FOR ON-FARM GREENHOUSE GAS MITIGATION IN MANITOBA – What's Next?



Summary: Despite increases in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions per unit product (e.g. emissions per kg of beef live weight (Fig. 4)) or unit of unit on unit of unit on unit of unit on unit on unit of unit on unit of unit on unit on unit of unit on income (Fig.5). Emissions intensity has fallen due to adoption of Beneficial Management Practices like those listed in Table 1. To bend the Fig. 1 emissions curve downward may require more than incremental adoption of BMPs. The linear production model shown in Fig.2 can be re-imagined as inter-woven, renewable cycles (Fig. 3), as a way toward a sustainable agricultural future.



BENEFICIAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Table 1. A selection of Beneficial Management Practices (BMPs) for reducing agricultural greenhouse gas emissions.

ENTERIC FERMENTATION	MANURE MANAGEMENT	AGRICULTURAL SOILS	OTHER SOURCES	ON-FARM FUEL USE
IMPROVE GRAZING MANAGEMENT to boost forage quality, reduce enteric methane and increase growth rates	REDUCE MANURE STORAGE TIME to reduce methane generation	IMPROVE SYNTHETIC N FERTILIZER USE (i.e. <i>4R Nutrient</i> <i>Stewardship</i>) to maximize N uptake by crops and minimize N loss	ELIMINATE CROP RESIDUE BURNING to conserve organic matter, and to prevent associated methane and nitrous oxide emissions	IMPROVE ENERGY EFFICIENCY OF FARM BUILDINGS
IMPROVE HERD MANAGEMENT to raise breeding success and calf survival	TEST FEED AND BALANCE RATIONS to avoid exceeding protein requirements	DEVELOP CROP VARIETIES with improved N use efficiency	MINIMIZE USE OF LIMESTONE, UREA AND UAN to reduce associated soil CO ₂ emissions	IMPROVE FUEL EFFICIENCY OF TRUCKS AND FARM MACHINERY
TEST FEED AND BALANCE RATIONS to optimize winter nutrition and identify appropriate feed additives	OPTIMIZE MANURE APPLICATION METHODS for avoiding N losses to the environment	ADD LEGUMES TO CROPPING SYSTEMS to reduce reliance on synthetic N		ADOPT BIOFUELS e.g. biomass for heating; biodiesel for transportation
INITIATE BREEDING PROGRAMS for more productive and/or efficient animals	IMPROVE MANURE HANDLING AND STORAGE SYSTEMS to reduce ammonia emissions	INTEGRATE LIVESTOCK AND CROP PRODUCTION to enhance nutrient cycling and reduce synthetic N use		ELECTRIFY Shift from fossil fuel to electric power where feasible

Presented at the Sustainability of Canadian Agriculture Conference, March 12-13, 2020, Saskatoon, SK

Matthew Wiens, Glenn Friesen, Getahun Legesse, Tony Szumigalski Manitoba Agriculture and Resource Development

Figure 2. Simplified diagram of the current linear flow of agricultural inputs to, and outputs from, the agricultural regions of Manitoba.



GHG INTENSITY HAS IMPROVED

Figure 4. Change in greenhouse gas emissions per kg of beef live weight over three decades.

Source: Adapted from Legesse et al. 2016. Anim. Prod. Sci. 56(3):153-168.



Figure 5. MB farm cash receipts for 1990-2017 corrected to 2002 dollars, and corresponding GHG intensity (orange line).

Sources: Adapted from: Statistics Canada. Table 32-10-0045-01 Farm cash receipts, annual; 2019 National Inventory Report: Greenhouse Gas Sources and Sinks in Canada, ECCC.



Figure 3. Simplified diagram of a future agricultural system in Manitoba with enhanced nutrient, water, and carbon cycling leading to reduced inputs, a broader range of desired outputs, and decreased unwanted outputs.

WHAT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE?

For consideration:

- How can the agriculture sector prioritize sustainability and farm profitability over maximizing production?
- What opportunities exist for maximizing natural sources of fertility?
- What is optimum diversity in the agriecosystem, what benefits can it provide and how can we get closer to it?
- What opportunities exist for increasing renewable energy use?