

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

no option. we're a province of just over a million in a massive space. how much carbon does all the green space in this province suck up?... we all see what green energy policies are doing in Ontario. we already pay pst, gst and gas tax. a carbon tax will devastate the economy and hurt the poor and middle class

Adopt the BC model or join with the Federal Govt. Don't recreate the wheel.

Taxing factories/polluting organisations much higher than regular citizens. Especially the Maple Leaf factory.

until you can provide viable alternatives to transportation outside of the city, no carbon tax. Public transit is not an option where I am. I have no other options but to use gasoline to get to work. so a carbon tax is not fair and equitable.

A pigouvian tax.

There is already excessive taxing on gas. To want more is just cash grab to accommodate frivolous spending.

Tax on polluters is more revenue for Manitoba and a better environmental image in the country/world.

Join the federal programme.

Manitobans do not want more taxes. Manitobans need more results from their existing taxes.

Emulate California emission controls. Heavy fines for non compliant.

We run a resource based economy which supplements our agricultural industry. Apart from WPG a carbon tax will cripple the economy.

Manitoba should be part of a national strategy at reducing emissions.

Encourage the transition from oil based transportation to electric transportation. Manitoba stands to gain from this transition due to its hydro resources. Help fund high speed DC charging stations.

NOT carbon capture -- too little information available on long term impacts on the environment.

Follow either Ontario, BC, or Alberta

Carbon tax that increases in percentage annually with the goal of phasing out carbon fuels. Revenue should be invested in renewable energy sources, and in better transportation infrastructure (bus routes and cycling lanes) and in helping low income families that will be hit the hardest when oil prices rise. Community economic development is a way to help low income families that should be used.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

None, carbon pricing is a tax gimmick that penalizes new efficient organizations, and rewards legacy emission offenders

Carbon taxes programs do nothing for reducing the carbon footprint. It's simply a tax grab. We must remember Canada contributes less than 2% of carbon emissions. We are doing our part by being very dependant on hydro power. This should be our carbon tax contribution.

I wouldn't charge more tax we pay too much already.

none

Climate change is the most pressing issue of our time. A carbon tax should also include significant tax credits for the poor and working class.

I clicked yes which would collect revenue but it didn't add any revenue to my budget. I estimate \$500,000,000 cutting my deficit well below the proposed deficit to about \$165,961,000. There are more items I would like to cut from this budget but the option was not provided, I could probably put the province in the black by cutting every employee's rate of pay starting at the top.

We already have a carbon tax, it's the provincial taxation revenue on all resources consumed. If your looking for new revenue source consider taxation on all data flowing within the province. A very minor tax assessment will provide much new revenue and the main users of data, business, would pay their fair share of costs associated. This is a new source that everyone has avoided and we keep relying on the old sin taxes. Start looking at new revenues.

An aggressive carbon tax with the proceeds dedicated to subsidizing technology to reduce GHGs will drive economic growth and reduce GHGs. We need to show leadership and can benefit financially from being a leader

carbon pricing is a shell game. it's an excuse to continue to pollute while feeling good about it. it's the 21st century and the world is filling up with pollution. incentivize business to stop polluting and make it easier to access technology to do it.

I support reducing emissions but gov'ts masquerading that this is to benefit the environment is sad. be trueful and admit you can't wait to get the billions in tax money to spend!! if/when these taxes come in use them to subsidize consumer upgrades so we can reduce our emissions by helping to pay for geothermal heat instead of gas, electric cars, etc...

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

keep it revenue neutral - don't put it into general revenue but target it to benefit affected sectors

DEMAND ALL VEHICLE MAKERS TO BE SOLD IN MANITOBA TO IMMEDIATELY IMPROVE THEIR EMISSION CONTROLS BY 50% IN THE VEHICLES THAT USE FOSSIL FUEL IN ALL 2018 MODELS ONWARD

Follow the lead of Brad Wall.

High carbon taxes to reduce PST and pay for infrastructure. Tax farm fuel and include school tax to farm land.

Recycling depots that create jobs and easily accessible ways to recycle. Taxing businesses that pollute?

No Carbon Tax! Environmental improvements: incentives for businesses to innovate reductions, encourage citizens to install LED lighting, plant trees, clean up local waterways, recycle, ect by providing a tax incentive. Recognize what citizens are already doing.

Reign in hydro and make it appealing to heat homes with electric rather than gas. Our exports are next to worthless as long as our neighbours are swimming in natural gas. Maybe sell carbon credits to anyone foolish enough to buy them

Get on board Manitoba, the climate change issue is not going away. When will any government realize prevention is far less expensive than dealing with the problem.

Need to consider a holistic approach. For example, agriculture producers can be paid to take farm land next to sensitive waterways out of production. Payment to be based on reduction of GHGs (price set as per federal regime) and proven science resulting in cleaner water.

I only favour a made-in Manitoba approach if we avoid taxing people for unproven hypothesis. Carbon is essential to life on this planet and there are actual worse pollutants to worry about than carbon. I do not favor any climate change efforts that tax carbon or put a price on carbon. I would like to see the Brandon Coal plant run full time and sell the excess power produced..

Raise the price of the fuel we use in transportation.

Stay away from it for now, will only hurt economy

Sell power to the US and other provinces. Support MB Hydro (our most valuable revenue generator) instead of abusing it.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Ideally, we'd impose carbon taxes and reduce payroll taxes and other things that hinder employment. Sadly, climate change is one of the biggest challenges of our time, and if we don't work to reduce it quickly, it will make trivial things like the fiscal condition of governments absolutely irrelevant.

Offering incentives to those that reduce their footprints individually (Solar panels, Electric Cars, ect) . Additional diversification in clean technology companies.

none. its a ridiculous concept

Penalizing the end user for doing his business whether drive a car or truck or even heating their homes and offices is not the way to go. CO2 is not the enemy to the environment that most of you sheep seem to think. Start picking on the abusers and their industries if they are over polluting.

This is just another excuse for governments to get into our savings accounts. The answer above is NO to carbon tax... period. Why is Canada involved in this when other, much more significant polluters, are not buying in to it? Canada is among the highest taxed people in the world. How do you expect people to save for the future with the addition of more taxes and more taxes. The government should be modeling and promoting healthy money management. Government should be viewed as a tool. Proper management of money will allow governments to stimulate the local economy when the global economic tide is rising and build up a reserve when the local economy is doing well. Government should not feel obligated to spend every penny of revenue they get... this is not healthy money management.

Asking someone to agree to additional taxes is absurd! Because the fed government is addicted to ever increasing taxes no matter the guise does not mean everyone is ...enough to agree to give the government more money to squander.

Carbon pricing is just another tax. If you want to end reliance on carbon then help companies that are doing research on alternative cleaner methods of energy which in the long run will reduce the public's reliance on fossil fuels and help the environment. Carbon pricing will put an unnecessary drag on the economy.

Trade deals and provincial contracts that prefer companies who are making substantial investment in cleaner technologies or environmental protection.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

revenue neutral with carbon taxes increasing over time to encourage consumers to conserve energy and to switch to greener energy sources. The neutral part can come into play with a clearly identified refundable tax credit on everybody's tax return - transparency

sell off crown corporations and regulate them

Science says we've hit the tipping point on carbon emissions. If we don't do something to reduce this, the environment and our world won't be livable in the future. Nice record to leave our great-great-great grandchildren.

Public has no info about options as Province has not consulted with us. If Province can't come up with a made-in-Manitoba solution, then implement carbon tax.

Carbon pricing is another tax. We can appreciate the challenges associated with climate change, although the heavy polluting sectors need to be held accountable and not off the backs of the public.

No carbon tax - what will it be spent on. Appears to be a tax for tax sake.

Instead of taxing I would reward less use. Manitobans are all ready taxed too much.

Simple economics say that if you raise the price you consume less and find other ways. Want less carbon raise the price.

Engage with Manitoba manufacturing companies. Many most likely have many thoughts to contribute to this conversations. Let's truly make it a community issues, not just government driving it.

A price on carbon is the most equitable and market-based approach to capturing the externality of carbon emissions. I would support a cost neutral tax that would decrease sales or income tax. I would also support the use of a portion of the revenues for innovation and research. The price should exceed BC's current carbon tax rate and then be indexed annually.

it seems carbon emission is a non issue in Manitoba.....how many pounds of carbon does the province emit IN TOTAL compared to any other province or country....not much?

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

We need to reduce harmful activities that damage the planet and environment that are sustainable. doing things for the sake of others desires means Manitoba will only be subservient to the will of Eastern Canada. With Manitoba's hydroelectricity and wide open spaces - and small degree of polluting industrialization - if we must participate - let's be as active as we can so the penalties others need to pay are paid to Manitoba to enjoy our benefits - and leverage that into required economic investment here. Don't let them just buy our credits - require them to have a significant business presence in Manitoba to be able to do so.

Vale's smelter at Thompson has the worst pollution controls in the country.. offer technical and financial assistance to reduce 'footprint' & get national and especially local goodwill..

There should be no carbon tax in Manitoba. The tax should be only implemented in more populated provinces.

Exponential growth for carbon taxes, make it go up fast if they want to pollute. This would have a dual benefit of increasing revenues and reducing pollution due to the cost.

I am strongly against the carbon tax go after the manufactures of vehicles like Ford GM dodge to make them more fuel-efficient and cleaner running because putting a tax on our fuel which is already expensive puts a lot of things out of reach and especially when it comes to recreation camping do you know any traveling which fuels the economy in a lot of different aspects

Big industry pay carbon tax on excessive polluting

None...Just another tax.

I don't have specific ideas, but we need to stop our procrastinating and do something to shift our reliance on fossil fuels. We are immersed in this illusion that we have time, and all indicators are that we don't. Responsible governments make unpopular decisions for the right reasons. Remember that "Duff's Ditch" did not have universal acceptance and was ridiculed. Can you imagine Winnipeg without it in 1997?

higher gas prices

None. I believe that this is a farce. A single volcanic eruption somewhere in the world will wipe out years of 'tackling climate change', and this has happened in the past. Save the environment? You bet! We are horrible stewards of the environment. But climate is cyclical - it will change with or without us.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

I would consider an additional tax on gasoline. This would serve to not only encourage the use of less fossil fuels, however would also raise government revenue. There could be tax credits provided (much like the Federal public transportation credit) to those who choose to use that method.

No subsidies to carbon producing companies... promote all forms of travel that save compared to cars and airplanes

tax credits. To stimulate other sources of revenue that grows sustainable business alternatives

Tax on corporate buildings and residential housing units that don't include some form of sustainable energy source or technology, in addition to subsidized incentives to pursue alternative options like geothermal, biomass, solar, wind, etc. You could consult 50by30 for concrete suggestions (50by30.org)

We should get a credit for selling electricity to the US and for producing it here. Not sure I agree with the carbon tax issue as it is currently formed.

keep it a revenue neutral tax

Not just carbon pricing - but taxes on vehicles and appliances that are less efficient/rebates on vehicles/appliances that are more efficient. Reduce speed limits. Reduce traffic congestion by supporting public transit. Enforce emission standards on old vehicles.

Let the Feds impose their plan and let them put the money back into the Province they took it from. Manitoba doesn't need another Government aristocracy to set up, administer and pay for.

NONE. If there is a carbon tax. Then everything must be taxed that is made from petroleum products. No exceptions for everyone. We use fossil fuels for more than a means of transportation.

Fund innovation into carbon-free transport!

Institute a carbon tax on non-essential consumer goods that originate from beyond a certain distance from Manitoba or Canada, and target non-essential consumer goods that originate from nations with poor environmental reputations.

Not sure, no one can really explain where the money will go and how it is used.....DUH

Climate change is real, but rushing to tax and price carbon just to spend on hobbies and theories by the idea industry is something we can't afford.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

It's weird how the previous question is worded. I only want a made in Manitoba solution if it exceeds the national solution in terms of reducing carbon. I encourage action in Manitoba that at minimum puts us in a position to meet international commitments made in Paris.

Eliminate income tax, do a tobin tax mixed with sales tax to carry the load. Cars and fuel fund the busses, tax not at all a small use, but as use grows ramp up the taxrate so wastrels pause

Target sources of carbon by taxing companies that emit high levels of carbon. Carbon tax on gas as well as dwelling size relative to family size. Carbon tax on infrastructure that encourages higher rates of personal vehicular use. These taxes would reduce the deficit. The problem needs action now and not a time consuming "made in Manitoba" approach.

Do whatever must be done to help stave off global warming, which is going to destroy our economies in the future. We must think long-term, not short term.

Increasing the gas tax.

Tax heavier users. At some point we can't keep doing the same and expect a different result. A famous scientist made comment on those that had this expectation.

Big business MUST pay their share. Greed is the biggest disadvantage here as all companies want is Huge Profit and very little responsibility

Come up with one national approach instead of a bunch of patch - work provincial ones.

Yes to the Carbon pricing, only if it does not put too much financial stress on the general public and the money goes to climate change and not in the general revenues. The companies that are polluting should be the ones that are subject to the carbon pricing, fines and changes.

Firstly the price of hybrid cars would have to go down for people to afford them. Otherwise, you are once again taxing those who already are taxed to death. How about opening up the pipeline jobs!! ... just started working pipeline jobs after losing a job in aerospace because they were downsizing. Now many jobs are on hold thanks to new government. So no to carbon pricing thanks.

gas tax or based on vehicle GPS mileage. The more you use the concrete roads the more you pay.



## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Recycle revenue to transfer to families and use the rest to pay off the public debt yearly.

A gas tax is already in place, a more inclusive version of the tax could be created to encompass more carbon creating fuels. Creating a brand new tax would double tax Manitobans.

The model must be the more you pollute, the more you pay. This holds true for private citizens and corporations alike. If such a model was in place in the past we would not be going through the issues we are today.

Pricing isnt gonna help reduce carbon! Just put more people in despair! Ask manufactures to increase fuel consumption and less carbon

Carbon pricing is fake I do not believe in it. It is just another way for governments to tax the. In many cases carbon tax will mean double or triple taxation on goods and services.

Allowing Manitobans to invest in energy future by introducing a province wide community power program.

Any type of carbon tax will have no effect on climate change we only produce 1.8 percent of world carbon and Mb produces a lot less than that.

Gas taxes, therefore, people will change their behaviours. No cap and trade as it not known where the money will go to. If it is general revenue, we are only playing a shell game.

No carbon pricing.

We have an abundance of electricity in this province. We could do more to encourage the use of electric cars. More quick charge plugs, tax rebate on purchase of all electric vehicles.

rather build incentives for those people/companies that try to reduce impacts to climate change.

La tarification du carbone est très importante pour la survie de l'être humain sur la planète! On peut se servir de cette tarification justement comme une force qui nous permettra de réduire encore plus nos émissions de carbone (par exemple en développant des infrastructures qui réduiront encore plus les émissions de carbone tel que le remplacement de feux de circulation par des ronds-points, augmenter efficacité des transports en commun etc.)

Spend more money on trying to change the amount of carbon we release.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Only if the rest of the country takes part

Take it slow. This could be detrimental to business and the economy.

With all our Hydro generation (renewables), reforestation, shutting down Vale's Thompson Smelter and Refinery and Tolco's pulp plant in The Pas, I believe we are carbon neutral and may have credits available for sale to other provinces.

Psychology would suggest that people don't much change, especially if you give small increments. They just become used to the suffering they are incurring. A carbon tax is not the answer. If you make a thing easier, convenient, and simple, then you have no issue. Solve global warming by using our natural instincts, don't oppress with negative punishment. It won't work.

Cap and trade.

More projects that offset carbon emissions, investments in innovation for green technologies.

Just a straight tax on carbon producing activities. There should be no cap and trade of carbon taxes. The tax should apply to such things as cutting down trees, burning of yard waste or other garbage, and to the burning of crop residues.

Green energy is possible and gives more jobs

I do not know enough about the program, but any incentive to reduce carbon is a good idea. Climate change is happening right now.

Use your carbon tax dollars to invest in wind, solar, nuclear and geothermal. You wouldn't displace people and spend millions every time you build a new dam.

Taxes on older model vehicles or other equipment that are not cleaner.

I would subsidize green initiatives in the private sector rather than heavily price carbon emissions. I would still have carbon pricing just not so extreme that we lose Manitoba jobs due to outsourcing. If we make it make sense financially corporations will go green.

Carbon tax is the way to go. It needs to be large enough to change behavior and the proceeds should be invested in clean technology in Manitoba which should drive economic growth

Carbon pricing should be charged to big business who run the gas-guzzling trucks....

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

I'd make a stand against the federal government over the matter. A solution needs to come mutually, not by force. Also, I don't see how carbon pricing is environmentally helpful when the carbon tax can be used as the province wishes rather than be invested back into carbon reduction efforts.

Instead of a tax, why not incentives for alternative energies such as geothermal, electric or hydrogen run vehicles, incentives for upgrading homes and businesses to be more energy efficient, recycling incentives for companies to set up business here in manitoba, we have the electricity and water, reduce ,re-use,recycle wherever we can

The world will never solve climate change unless the big players like China and the US are on board... since they aren't, all we are doing by adding carbon taxes to the cost of all our goods is pricing ourselves out of the market and hurting people on limited budgets

this is a ridiculous tax grab. reject the federal government's outrageous tax increase on behalf of Manitobans. take a look at how Canada's economic engine - Ontario - has been destroyed by this Liberal climate insanity under McGuinty and Wynn. we elected Pallister because we wanted someone to stand up to Trudeau's foolishness. if Pallister needs to stiffen his spine on this issue, he might consult with Brad Wall in Saskatchewan.

Join Brad Wall...

Carbon taxing is wasteful and ridiculous and will not affect our environment in any way

Nine needed. Should be avoided.

Definitely need to take the stand of Brad Wall's government

The whole global warming is mostly a hoax, a way to get a new tax passed.

Another tax is frustrating - we already have enough federal and prov taxes on petro fuels. We must encourage research to find more efficiencies with petro fuels, innovations to invent and lower costs of renewables. The future rise in the cost of a barrel of oil will do more then any carbon tax and will be burdensome enough.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

No, but if there is a great drive to make the biggest offenders accountable for one. The other one is to encourage the use of better systems, electric cars, solar heating, etc. Reward good behavior, do not make the people pay for a tax that will not fix these huge companies. These companies say they are losing money but they forget to admit how much money they have made that is insanely large.

We don't need a carbon tax on top of our fuel taxes

NO CARBON TAX. PERIOD

I would strongly oppose any carbon tax

no carbon tax at all... we voted for you guys because we are tired of...government decisions. carbon tax is stupid.

let the american companys that own our oil development companys pay these taxes not our tax payers.

None - our province is providing clean energy through our hydroelectric programs - if anything we should be a recipient of more federal funding for our clean energy and innovation

Have any of you guys looked at what happened over in Europe with cap and trade...? Absolute disaster...!! The under the table dealings, brown envelope deals, companies making millions on fraudulent claims, the list goes on. If we could TRUST the government to make a carbon tax revenue neutral, and give some BACK to the people in the form of a dividend...I MIGHT agree with it.

Not sure but carbon taxes are just another tax that will continue to hurt our economy and raise taxes and the cost of living and do little to change how much fossil fuels are being used or decrease the environmental impact.

Cut any and all talk/ planning for carbon taxes. It is a failing system, carbon is essential to human life, and has been shown to increase crop production, just what our farmers need. The last thing we need is another tax.

drop it ...

Carbon tax??!!...

The climate changes all the time & has nothing to do with carbon emissions. CO2 is vital for plant life. Taking more of people's money to prevent a 2% increase in climate warming is ludicrous. The science is not settled & Mother Nature rules Totally against carbon tax Mr. Pallister, be a leader not a follower. I don't remember you campaigning on this...

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

More insensitives such as green credits for home and auto. Expand on hydros rebates for more energy efficient homes and rebates for hybrid vehicles like we used to

More insensitives such as green credits for home and auto. Expand on hydros rebates for more energy efficient homes and rebates for hybrid vehicles like we used to

Vehicle tax on large uneconomical vehicles

none

None

None ! -Man-made climate change is a Long Proven UN Perpetuated "FRAUD" and nobody should be paying taxes on a :Fraudulent Concept

help the countrys who are polluteing the planet the most change.

We do not have a carbon problem in Canada, we have a Federal govt with a problem looking for more tax

Manitoba's on-balance carbon footprint is negative due to relatively negligible emissions and significant carbon sinking by forests and agriculture. A better alternative is to promote public transportation under the infrastructure budget.

Climate change is a hoax. The biggest polluters Russia, China, India and USA are not doing anything so why are we who pollute less that 1%. We cannot reduce our need for fossil fuels until someone invents a substitute that replaces it that does not pollute. Price on carbon is another tax grab!!! reduce our need for fossil

Why do we need carbon tax? Nothing will change if we do, we still will burn fossil fuels but have less money and things will cost more. We are already one of the highest tax nations on the planet.

The money that is generated should go to provide grants to people who are willing to convert to sustainable energy. Let the change be market driven. If I'm provided a grant to put solar panels on my roof and others are then the demand will create innovation in the industry just like microwave ovens and cell phones. The price then comes down. Innovation could be in better battery storage and charging. Smaller panels etc. If you give money directly to the industry then they will waste it and you'll end up with hydro rates such as Ontario.

Tax corporate industries. User fees for carbon producing entities.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Increase technologies and encourage the pipeline development. This would take a lot of trains, semis freighters etc off hauling fuels. Natural gas is abundant as is our hydro. Encourage use of hybrid vehicles where possible. Everyone should be encouraged to do their part without resorting to taxing everyone. If there is a bad offender, issue fines to that party on a graduated basis so they will comply.

None. Canada produces less than 2% of the green house gases in the world. Canada is not the solution to climate change.

Taxes don't change climates... Want to protect the environment?? Ban the use of all the corrosive road salts that THE HIGHWAYS DEPARTMENT slathers all over the highways, ruining the roads and killing the vegetation and polluting our waterways.

The Liberals are going to put it in place if MB doesn't, they say that the \$\$\$ will stay in MB. What are the Fed's not going to give the provinces that we would normally get (Like the 38M or what every)...

Premier Pallister promised Manitobans a referendum before major tax increases. A carbon tax is clearly major. I am calling on Premier Pallister to honour his promise and hold a referendum before imposing a carbon tax: calling on Premier Pallister to honour his promise and hold a referendum before imposing a carbon tax:

There is no "climate change" evidence and so there is no need for a "carbon tax solution" Stop buying into the lie. If there is a carbon tax implemented it would add to the overall cost of living which is unsustainable.

I would suggest there is not a need for carbon pricing. Manitoba needs to follow the lead of Brad Wall in Saskatchewan in not falling in line with the Liberal government. As an aside there is little to no evidence that the carbon produced by mankind has a significant effect on climate change. The earth itself produces way more carbon than we do. Bottom line the climate is changing and we need to adapt.

We have enough tax this will bring our province and Canada even down more.

**ABSOLUTELY NONE.** This is a non issue foisted on us by poor science and kowtowing (bulling) politicians and "environmental" groups. Canada's so called "carbon foot print" is woefully small compared to China, and India and the US. So is "climate change". These same groups either gloss over or completely ignore the global warming that lasted for centuries beginning about 1000 years ago. It's a fact. It also had **NOTHING** whatsoever to do with human effects.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

We should try to be similar to other provinces. The money could go to debt payment maybe even to Hydro debt.

Cap and Trade and a Carbon Tax have been proven not to work (see Europe where prices went up along with emissions)! The only version of a carbon "tax" that is shown to have any effect is a tax and dividend system where all carbon taxes raised are returned to citizens via subsidies on renewable/non-carbon taxable resource. This results in a reduction of emissions since people will choose the less costly option.

Carbon taxes do not work and are just a government cash grab. I think that promoting innovation and efficiency is the best way to tackle the fictional problem of climate change.

Nothing. Man made global warming is a global farce run by the UN to enable transfer of wealth. This is a waste of money. It is blatant socialism. This nonsense will kill the economy jobs.

We don't have the carbon pollution that so many say we do, they should be concentrating on China, Russia and United States.

I would suggest that if the federal government is going to legislate taxation of carbon (let's not sugar-coat it, that is what is being proposed), let them enforce it seeing that this tax revenue will be remitted to the originating provinces anyway. Use the tax revenue to increase the basic exemptions and reduce and/or flatten the income tax brackets.

When the NDP was in power, we heard often that they did not have a revenue problem, they had a wasteful spending problem. Studies have shown that while CO<sub>2</sub> has increased to about 400 ppm, temperature according to the IPCC has remained flat for the past 19 years. There is no significant correlation between the increased CO<sub>2</sub> and global warming. This proposal is NOT a plan to alter climate, but rather increase the Government coffers at the expense of Canadians. Manitobans do not want and cannot take the burden of another tax, especially such a pervasive and punitive tax such as a Carbon Tax. It is time for Brian Palliser and the new Conservatives to join Brad Wall and stand up to Trudeau and demand NO CARBON TAX!. I will not support or vote for any Party that supports a Carbon Tax.

Ignore them completely, man made global warming is the biggest fraud ever perpetrated on humanity.

Cap and trade. Incentives to adopt new technologies that reduce emissions.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Carbon pricing please, we are one of the cleanest countries around. Go after the countries that do pollute and tax them. Go after the businesses that pollute and let them pay for their crime. Why do the rest of us have to pay just another tax grab. Soon everyone will be on welfare because we don't have jobs and then who will pay for that. It's a cycle not climate change...!!

There are much more sensible ways to actually work a program that works as per Climatologist Dr. Tim Ball, and Climate Change Study expert lord Christopher Monckton, they are able to give us sensible advice and quality solutions, far more honest than the United Nations Climate Agenda and the IPCC East Anglia University Flawed system of failing computer models' and Fudged Fraud Temperature numbers! Believe me Manitoba and Manitoba citizens don't need to be wasting a dime of our taxes on the Fraud bases of Climate Change from "The United Nations"!

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fE7r0gk5nmw>

<http://www.desmogblog.com/christopher-monckton>

<http://www.thenewamerican.com/tech/environment/item/21013-climate-expert-lord-monckton-global-warming-ceased-over-18-years-ago>

<http://www.theherald.com.au/story/1328416/lord-monckton-warns-of-agenda-21/>

<http://www.zerohedge.com/news/2016-08-04/dr-tim-ball-how-world-was-deceived-about-global-warming-climate-change>

[http://ielts-yasi.englishlab.net/political\\_agenda.htm](http://ielts-yasi.englishlab.net/political_agenda.htm)

<http://www.globalclimatescam.com/category/ipcc/>

Scientists Skeptical of AGW

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/592667610853437/>



## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

NOTHING! First, climate change is a full on fraudulent science for hire tax scam. The earth is actually in a cooling period with solar minimum for the next 10 or so years... Human produced carbon dioxide makes up such a small percentage, there is no way possible it contributes to any warming of the climate. This is a well established fact by ethical honest scientists. Second, carbon tax failed miserably in Australia and just sucked even more money out of their economy hurting the consumers and unseating the current party in charge. Why do we have experience learning from the mistake Australia already made? Al Gore is sunning himself at his beach mansion that he just recently bought that should be 10ft under water as per his claim over 10 years ago with this scaremongering documentary. It's racketeering and fraud. People in gov't should be smarter...Online people are waking up to this everywhere, the gig and hunt for extra revenue through lies is over.

Taxing the corporations that are the biggest contributors I would favor a carbon tax as long as the taxes are fair and corporations are being taxed as well. We face increases in cost of fuel/ electricity . Consumers and manufactures should both be taxed

Mandatory vehicle emissions testing, MPI could increase the insurance rates based on level of exceedence, stop crop burning, stop any non water or wind power generation, increase wind power generation

None. I say no to carbon tax. Unless the whole world is on board with the same policies, there is no benefit.

Do nothing! Anyone with one statistics course in their background will tell you making decisions of this enormity based on a 150 or 200 year sample from a population widely acknowledged to be about 4,500,000,000 years is silly. The species will do what we have always done. Adapt.

People believe it's just another tax grab. US tax payer's only have so much money. I'm tired of giving my hard earned money over and nothing changes. The govt needs to figure it out with the money they already get. Trudeau has always been spoon fed, he doesn't understand the struggles for alot of Canadians that live pay cheque to pay cheque trying to get by. I'm a single guy with no kids and I don't get any tax breaks, I'm pretty tired of paying more n more. My pay cheque can't keep up with all this government spending.

**What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?**

**Responses**

Fight tooth and nail against carbon tax or cap and trade implementation. The Government focus should be on things that we can actually control like pollution and CO2 is simply not pollution, and this says nothing about the reality that this movement is not science but activism and is easily proved to be so.

I believe that placing a carbon tax at this time would be disastrous for Manitobans. We are paying some of the highest taxes in Canada. People on fixed incomes are going to see the brunt of this as everything from home heating to groceries are going to go up. The Feds say that they won't benefit from carbon taxes and that the provinces will keep the money, but the federal government will benefit from the GST that it will collect from the higher prices. If we do implement a carbon tax then it should be a neutral tax with dividends being given back to the tax payer. In saying that no one has figured out how much it is going to cost to implement this

Charge a premium tax for drive through restaurants and non recycleable containers.

To offset carbon pricing, invest in renewable and safe energy sources. Our hydroelectric power should be taken advantage of to an incredible degree, as an export and method of lowering other pollutants.

This is a cash grab for the Federal government and the Canadian population will see considerable increase in almost all purchases if this goes through.

None

\$50 per tonne.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

I support carbon pricing and congratulate this government for remaining calm when the federal government announced the requirement for the provinces to begin carbon pricing a \$10/tonne, moving up to \$50/tonne. I do not believe cap and trade was the best route for Manitoba, and support the decision to explore other options. I prefer a "carbon tax" for all sources of greenhouse gases. I am ok with paying higher amounts for things that contribute to climate change (e.g. transportation). It will help motivate everyone, including me, to move to cleaner options. In addition, I am interested in how Manitoba will use revenues from carbon pricing. It is an opportunity to put revenues into areas that help Manitoba prevent and adapt to climate change. For instance, it can help us build infrastructure that is resilient to climate change (e.g. to help fund the channel from Lake Manitoba to Lake Winnipeg), prepare for future floods and droughts (e.g. provide support to ALUS programming, such as protecting wetlands from drainage); and can fund climate change mitigation options (e.g. incentives to buy fuel efficient vehicles etc.)

Polluter tax = user tax; like MB producer responsible recycling, if you pollute, you pay, especially for clean up; possibly a sinking fund set up for large carbon producer corporations

Pushing for higher carbon pricing across the country will increase the value of our clean hydro electricity.

Carbon pricing is a sham, just another gas tax, PST, GST, HST, etc. Besides, Canada is one of the lowest polluters in the world.

Until we have other options available to move the machinery that grows our food, to run the factories that process our food and other goods, to drive the trucks that deliver our food, to provide the heat to keep us warm, and to fuel the vehicles to get us back and forth to work, a carbon tax will exponentially increase our cost of living. Putting a carbon tax in place may make a small decrease in the use of fossil fuels, but for most people, the current high prices on them already has people minimizing their uses wherever possible.

I support carbon pricing that is in accordance with the Kyoto and Paris accords, if not more aggressive. Manitoba can be leaders in this area.

Cap and trade

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

There are no options either you do it or you don't and I think our Premier should stand up and say no to it. It is going to be another tax that does nothing more than put money in the governments pocket. Money that could be spent by the people that it will be collected from on the things they need to live. This money would then end up in businesses that could lead to more jobs and possibly higher wages for people. Until there is new ways to survive in Manitobas climate without the use of carbon fuels that are both affordable and renewable the government should not be blaming or TAXING the people since there is currently no other options for using these fuels. It is all we have that actually works when it is -30 degrees and you have to travel 500 kilometres.

None, I don't believe taxes on carbon don't reduce environmental waste, just see this as a cash grab.

Collect the carbon tax then redistribute to the businesses as grants for expansion.

Focus on Health care - the people have paid enough into it. And if you want carbon responsibility - send out info on that before taxing people once again for things the majority don't understand.

In ways yes and in ways no. I don't trust that this government, nor the opposition, has the next generation's best interests at heart. In my opinion, there is too much focus on "party politics" and not enough on statesmanship and leadership. Stop focusing on rhetoric and catch-phrases and start planning for the next hundred years.

Whether you accept GW or not, carbon is getting priced globally and should be monitored here too. There are many toxic emissions that accompany C that sicken many people and cost \$\$\$. What's wrong with clean? Leverage the clean image. Businesses like to grow in clean neighborhoods. Kids are growing with this in mind. You can fight the paradigm shift away from big oil so maximize revenue and development with clean opportunities to be progressive. C tax is fair to all as it is accross the board and technology agnostic. Double down on Fed plan.

Be aggressive and go 40 cents or higher.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Incentives for consumers (personal taxpayers) and industry companies to invest in net green technologies, as some examples, starter systems in automobiles that are designed to be potentially shut off maybe several times in a trip to reduce idling, or cash incentives to buy electric cars, Reducing the speed limit and adding a paved shoulder on the Trans Canada Highway would also help to reduce fuel usage, and coupled with getting some more people out of motorized vehicles would make bicycle highway driving safer. For industry to use cleaner scrubbers for carbon generation, including diesel fleets of transport trucks, jet airplanes and railway locomotives, and/or encourage development of transportation alternatives, such as airships. If they don't want to play ball (like Omnitrac), just let them walk. This would probably apply to Koch (fertilizer), which would put some people out of work near Brandon, but the Koch brothers are not hurting for money, especially after getting a financial windfall in the Bernie Maddox affair. As far as pricing, I like something close to British Columbia's model. Also, for farming, encourage livestock farmers to reduce the size of their herds and put the former grazing land into grain and pulses production.

The gymnastics your government went through to phrase this question is ridiculous. "Made in Manitoba approach" is such a weasel term. Manitoba should introduce significant prices on carbon in line with THE MAJORITY of other province (not just Alberta) and their policy should be in line with the recommendations of the majority of climate scientists. If the Manitoba government cannot do so I agree that the federal government should impose a carbon tax.

Direct the tax to the major producers, not to the overall public. Be very transparent as to where the money goes. Same goes for the environmental tax of today, where does it go, the tax on tires, electronics and pop bottles?

Not a believer in man made climate change. The climate has cycled many times over it's millions of years existence. A carbon tax will have no effect on stabilizing the climate and will have a huge negative impact on the economy! The only group that will benefit is money hungry provincial and federal politicians who can not hold themselves accountable to be fiscally responsible.

increase tax at the pump

Carbon tax puts more of a burden on companies. That already struggle in our little province of slow growth which could shut them down and loss the tax we make off them already and we'll as there employees

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

A made in Canada approach that is built on cooperation between all provinces and the federal government would be preferable to one focused only on our self-interest.

Why aren't there rebates and better pricing on alternatives. Instead of taxing more. I'd also like to know where this carbon tax is going to help the environment. I am pro environment but in the end the middle and lower class pay this tax twice. I.e. I pay it on my bills and fuel then my grocery and goods cost go up

There should be no carbon tax, Manitoba is one of the greenest provinces in Canada due to our extensive use of hydroelectric power. Canada itself is only responsible for 1.6% of the world carbon pollution. If China and the United States don't fix their pollution issues nothing we can do here in Canada will help the situation

The carbon tax and carbon pricing needs to start with the end goal - what will it take to meet Canada's commitment and for us to do our part to reduce emissions and keep global warming below 1.5 degrees. Working back from this, the price on carbon should be set to meet this goal, both in Manitoba and across Canada. Manitoba is in a good position with our Hydro electricity to build the east-west grid and help Hydro-poor provinces. At the same time we can't rest, transportation needs to be curbed. We need demand side management incentives for our older housing and commercial stock to be energy efficient. Manitoba can and should lead on this, it is in our best interest and essential for our children's well-being. We need to do everything we can to PREVENT climate change.

Not carbon pricing exactly but I'd love to see more composting programs. Urban gardening initiatives. Easier access to EV charging like allowing citizens to bury cords under a sidewalk to reach their car on the street. There are so many ways that we can have a positive impact, let's explore ALL of them.

Other techniques should be followed to reduce carbon emissions. Clearly taxing is not the way to go to reduce them. It will have an impact on the economy and of course, the people

Tax consumption

In addition to a made in Manitoba approach, I would still support a tax increase on carbon based fuels, and to see the increased revenue dedicated to lowering the province's carbon footprint. Better insulation in people's homes, balloons and airships for long distance bulk deliveries. Biomass plants. Carbon char agriculture.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Create tax rebates for using renewable sources like electric cars. Putting solar and wind farms on personal and business properties. Also create the infrastructure for cars to plug in so people have more ways to get around. This will also allow MB hydro to sell more power to other areas to cut back the massive debt they have.

Don't implement them at all. The climate models are all wrong due to an error which when corrected negates all warming. No prediction model that's real work Reilly's on climate change. There is no consensus

We don't need any measures in Manitoba. We already have clean hydro that we have been taxed to death building and I don't see the need to be further taxed just so our ...prime minister can impress his new buddies at the UN. The majority doesn't want it and you the government have to listen.

The federal government has required all provinces to implement a carbon taxing or cap and trade system. The carbon tax must be revenue-neutral. Every increase in the carbon tax must equate to large income and corporate tax cuts. The carbon tax should not become a hindrance in the process of making Manitoba the ideal destination for business and private-sector investment. We can learn from the BC carbon tax and how their system cut taxes for corporations and individuals.

just another way for government to control taxpayer money. A economy killer

Explicitly, I would also include a 2 to 5 percent carbon tax to all fossil fuel purchases.

None

follow the federal plan

It's going to hurt the small business/ farm to the point of closure and when there is no competition, prices for consumers go up

...It would be interesting to see a carbon pricing program that was Canada wide. Provinces that have a high carbon emission would collect a carbon tax and pay it to provinces that are actively sequestering carbon. This would encourage high emitters to reduce their outputs and it would encourage industries such as forestry and agriculture to sequester more carbon. This would result in lower emissions.

Using Hydro and low emissions in a cap & trade system of selling to larger emitters.

None.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Every tonne of pollution must have a real tangible cost. No person or organization should be able to pollute without knowing the cost it has & right now the only cost is fuel and the pollution is free - this must change. A conservative government that can show real leadership on the environment instead of being solely on side to oil & gas is an attractive government to everyone.

See federal proposal

It's just a tax grab

Manitoba should have the largest incentives for electric and electric hybrid vehicles...Instead of gas taxing us to death.. And a enviornmental levy of 50 cents per container for recyling. Recycle like Sask Alberta and BC our present form is a joke. No money to pick up garbage.

None. Government regulation only reduses private and public investment example thompson/flin flon smelters. Form a coalition with alberta and Saskatchewan and separate from east

No carbon tax. Global climate change is sham science and a farce to justify a tax grab. Impliment only after China and India Impliment one first. We can not compete against countries that do not have these bogus taxes

Implement a carbon-tax. Economists of all stripes (not including those far on the right-fringe) agree that this is the most socially efficient tax and can reduce dead-weight-loss in the economy. Implement a carbon tax and reduce payroll and income tax. However, it should not be revenue neutral. A portion of the tax should go to funding important programs to transition us to a more environmental and justice economy.

Une autre taxe, ou va aller l'argent ? Peut etre augmenter l'essence pour que le monde utilise plus les transport en comun.

Manitoba should have the largest incentives for electric and electric hybrid vehicles...Instead of gas taxing us to death.. And a enviornmental levy of 50 cents per container for recyling. Recycle like Sask Alberta and BC our present form is a joke. No money to pick up garbage.

None. Government regulation only reduses private and public investment example thompson/flin flon smelters. Form a coalition with alberta and Saskatchewan and separate from east



## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Manitoba should implement an immediate tax on all carbon starting at \$50 per tonne. Standards and regulations for vehicles, buildings, fertilizer use, and landfills should also be considered.

This is a bad question. I favor joining other provinces, but not the "made-in Manitoba" part. I suggest that Manitoba align its efforts with other provinces and the Federal government.

That funds collected be spent on renewable energy projects.

Why not, they are part of the whole.. Whatever it takes to keep the environment healthy for all...

The carbon tax should be postponed until the oil and gas sector rebounds.

green-based incentives and /or tax credits. Reward those corporations that choose green alternatives and can pass along the pricing to the consumer. This will drive consumers to choose green.

I don't know but made in Manitoba approach sounds expensive

None because the amount we are producing compared to China and the usa is already minimal

We need to see better research in agriculture that is accessible to farmers and the ag industry as it is so prevalent in Manitoba. We need government to work with general farm organizations to make reducing green house gasses in agriculture a reality. This can come as incentives as well as carbon pricing.

Tax incentives for clean energy use (e.g. Installing solar panels systems on homes, purchasing electric/hybrid vehicles, or improving home heating systems such as more efficient furnances).

No carbon taxes! Canada is already a world leader in this category.

Higher taxes on polluters, larger vehicles, inefficient appliances, etc.

Investing in Renewable Energy Projects that is municipally owned and run. Also, giving incentives to Indigenous communities as an act of economic and resource extraction reconciliation, to start their own community owned and run Renewable Energy projects that is not Manitoba Hydro.

Better incentives for public transit use (ie: free bus!) to reduce car-usage

It's absurd, but what choice do we have?

Use a carbon pricing scheme similar to other provinces, not a "made in Manitoba approach".

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Solar powered buildings & street lights.

Solar powered street lights & buildings

Too little, hopefully not too late. We need to start subsidizing solar, wind, and other renewable resources. Electric cars, etc.

We no longer have bus service throughout much of the province, many rural people have no choice but to drive. Encourage discounts for car pooling (MPI), encourage bus use in cities, make it easier for bike travel in cities. the loss of services in many of our rural areas means more travel, more pollution, fewer people maintaining their rural lifestyle as they age because of loss of medical care, hospitals, schools, business.

Make it so that large corporations cannot pay away their carbon usage. Turn it into an opportunity for more r & d to occur to find alternative methods.

Yes BUT we have to work with the feds on this: we need a national strategy that is actually going to meet our commitment to keep temperature increase at 1.5 degrees. Manitoba can lead the way: we have a powerful tool: Manitoba Hydro. A carbon tax should give us more tax revenue which will allow us to offset the cost to low-income Manitobans AND control the deficit.

Businesses should be charged a higher rate for using non-green solutions starting with large businesses

In this economy carbon tax will add extra burden on Manitobans. Instead of adding carbon taxes our government should find a way to introduce renewable energy.

I would prefer a made in Canada approach. Otherwise all provinces could do it differently and some provinces would not maintain a high standard.

Again, is this question referring to trying to weasel out from under the soon to be implemented federal carbon tax, or is the suggestion that Manitoba actually work collaboratively with all levels of government?

It has been proven that carbon taxing does nothing to lower greenhouse gases, the only thing it does is give government more tax dollars and increases costs for business which provides wealth for the country.

None, we only have 2 percent of worlds carbon

Emissions testing program for all vehicles. Must pass to renew insurance every year. Continue increasing the cost of driver and vehicle licensing, including more intensive, user paid, driver skills training.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

incentives should be in place for industry to adopt carbon reducing or carbon capture technology. A tax will not save the planet

Give incentives for those that are taxed, have a targeted tax approach that focuses on industry that will actually result in greenhouse gas emission reductions (including N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>)

Taxing is not the answer. We need to encourage and empower innovators and investors to create solutions to these problems. Red tape and taxes are counter productive to the real solution.

Capitalize on marijuana. Add focus on alternatives to fossil fuels like canola oils, butter gas...

It does not work and only hurts people they will still use the same if they need it and have to cut back on other things like food.

We have water and wind. The province should be focusing on clean energy and helping individuals obtain the clean energy. Manitoba is so far behind with non-gas powered cars it is disgusting.

Better research to prove that it's bogus anyways

None! Stand with Brad Wall and stand up against the federal government! Carbon taxes will make our products and services far less competitive in the global economy. Those who live in rural areas have no choice but to drive places they can't afford extra taxes on fuel and everything else.

Double federal pricing on carbon.

Don't play political games on the matter of climate change. We all know what the scientific research says: we are doomed unless we act quickly and drastically to reduce (and hopefully stop) climate change. I think this needs to be a national plan, otherwise provinces will attempt to wiggle out of actually engaging in meaningful action. I'm looking at you, Manitoba and Alberta. And Quebec, for that matter. Please work together with the federal government on this issue. It's the responsible thing to do.

Plant more trees - supply areas for reforestation for carbon credit.

Bring back the building renovation grant and add a green energy production retrofit option.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Eliminate the incentives and government support for carbon bases resources. A carbon tax is just a money circle, and will only be passed on to the consumer. Offer incentives for renewable resources.

Oil and oil product import tariffs

None. We live in a geographic region that demands we heat our homes for up to 6 months of the year. Canada is a drop in the bucket compared to other major nations and it would be economic suicide to impose carbon taxes. Address serious pollutants other than carbon.

Make it more expensive to purchase products and services that create a higher impact.

I would not do carbon pricing on a resource based economy. This country sells resources more than most country's to cut into businesses bottom lines isn't going to boost our economy. It's going to cost us in jobs.

You need to offset this consumption tax with reducing another one because consumption taxes disproportionately impact the poor which is not fair.

It must be agriculture friendly. Wind energy is only sustainable if subsidized so not cost effective. Electric cars are not feasible in rural Manitoba in the winter. Could cheap hydro be exported with a user fee/tax to offset carbon tax fees?? Transportation efficiencies should be rewarded i.e. short line rail systems, etc.

Why doesn't the carbon tax generate revenue according to this budget?  
A direct pump tax on gas that would be reinvested into public transit and road maintenance.

Put all funds into solar and wind projects

Investing in technology to reduce our carbon footprint. If there is a need for carbon tax it should be in the form of a retail tax on everything consumers purchase not just on industry who is creating jobs and wealth in our country.

Follow the federal plan

Work with Ottawa to fight against climate change.

encouraging reducing, reusing and recycling in schools and work places. also promote solar powered energy in people's homes and advertise in the media

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

The only way I would support a carbon tax is if it is completely revenue neutral. However, based on past experience, I don't believe governments can be trusted to ensure a carbon tax is revenue neutral. There is too much temptation for governments to see this as another source of revenue for them to spend (that is waste) on their wish lists and pet projects.

This isn't effective at reducing pollution. This passes the buck on to the consumer who's still going to gas up their car and go to their job the same way that you do. What are these funds going to be used for? This makes sense only if you can create incentives with this money for people to purchase greener transportation (electric cars) which creates a permanent solution to this problem. Throwing money into the air doesn't make it clean. The permanent solution will be electric vehicles and it's going to happen eventually anyways.

PLEASE remember the rural people...and, recognizing their transportation barriers, reduce cost to them

I don't understand the issues fully but it seems clear to me that the world is trying to hit a home run instead of getting a guy on base and advancing him with another single hit. The cost as a consumer seems while the only companies making money are the oil and gas companies. Use a reforestation model - if you cut the tree down plant another.

I think just one for now is the route to go. I haven't had a raise in 13 years, not even a cost of living ... so with all these extra costs, I am making less money than I did. The province needs to be careful with all the taxes we pay, and not see any difference in services/roads/etc.

Utilize a carbon footprint criteria for residential, business, government etc. taking into account codes/standards, usage, demographics, etc. in comparison to baseline targets and establish tax / refund based on performance to target

Grow willow trees which are carbon neutral. Use building materials that absorb carbon, They are working on ways to make fossil fuels that release no carbon into the air. Science daily. Govt needs money, but just raising taxes isn't the way. Rather innovation should lead the way, but fossil fuels will be needed for decades yet, so make them cleaner. Challenge the schools to be innovative. Kids are doing great things in science.

I don't think we have a choice here. We need to follow the federal government and this is COMING!! Implement carbon taxes.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Put the pressure for better technologies for equipment and manufacturing to be more environmentally friendly. The prairies are not contributors to the fictional "climate change"

It's a very expensive feel-good hobby that we can't afford.

Why do we need to tax carbon? Teaching environmental responsibility is a better solution.

Tax breaks for industrial businesses that reduce carbon pollution on their own. If they don't make reductions, then that is fine. No need to punish them, and no need to punish the public for it. Increased taxes are the opposite of what Manitoba needs right now.

We need to do more than carbon pricing in order to reduce climate change this allows companies who have money to continue to exploit the environment. We need to say no to pipeline expansion (e.g. energy east) and protect our water.

I don't think carbon taxes are needed. It's a way for the government to take more money from its citizens. Climate change discussions should not be had without talking about climate engineering.

Mandate electric charging stations at all gas stations and subsidise the costs to force people and companies to switch to cleaner choices that they can afford. Encourage transport companies to rely more on train services rather than semi-trailers as this would make a huge impact on our carbon footprint and make our roads last longer and be safer.

Charge a carbon tariff on products from countries that actually contribute to greenhouse gas emissions.

No carbon pricing, its insanely bad for the economy. We in industry are already struggling and we need to keep the doors open which is challegins asit is. Further expenditures will only make the situation worse and more companies will close the doors.

I believe there are alternatives to 'taxing' a reduction. This is a global problem that for obvious reasons requires global planning. Mega contributors will migrate to countries not taxing them. reductions in taxes could actually be incentive to keep improving.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

I am not in favour of carbon pricing as it is an additional cost to the citizens of Manitoba. The Federal Gov has however mandated that we do so and as such I would support a made in MB plan. The only advantage for this is that if all works as promised by the Feds the provincial gov will come into some serious funds.

Cap and trade.

Increase gasoline tax; incentives for solar panels on residential homes

If we are going to do something on this front, I favour a made in Manitoba approach - an approach that recognizes our carbon footprint in this province is extremely low (hence what are we to tax?).

Get on with it now.

Perhaps incentive and support to use alternative green energy (eg: electric) would be more conducive.

The polluters should pay the carbon tax. Manufacturing companies, transport companies, etc. Not the everyday little guy!!

There is presently a tested and proven, Canadian made product, if added to gasoline or diesel fuel, will reduce harmful emissions by as much as 70% while extending engine life and improving the efficiency of the fuels by 10-15%. This product comes at a price that is considerably lower than the benefits it delivers, even before calculating the value of the 70% reduction in harmful emissions that it eliminates. This is not a product that just filters out the harmful emissions and dumps it elsewhere, which a number of the present devices tend to do, but this product actually facilitates a more complete burn and in doing so utilizes the lost energy which is presently stored in the harmful emissions, and in turn gains energy from it and in doing so exhausts 70% less harmful un-burnt gases and calls it necessary emissions. Much more could be said about this product, which for now can be called E-Fuel, but suffice it to say that the actual, near immediate benefit of using this product in our fuels, in savings alone, far outweigh the cost of adding it to our fuels. We see this as a win-win combination and are excited to be able to present it to you as a benefit that far outweighs the present suggestion of penalizing the end user for creating dirty air, via a carbon tax, that ends up being a loose-loose combination that cost the user a lot of money while doing nothing to protect the environment. I should also say the Province of Saskatchewan has embraced this product with great enthusiasm and has stated that they had never seen anything like it before. As a former mechanic and a Tune up specialist, I have always kept my eyes and ears open for methods of improvements in efficiency and reduction of harmful gases. I have personally

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

used this product for several years now and have seen substantial benefits not only in inefficiencies but also in much extended oil change intervals and the general function of my engines.

Continued support of green energy

As long as it is used for the intended purpose. It is difficult in a province that is cool to cold six months of the year.

I wouldn't suggest any as there is no actual way carbon pricing stops citizens from using gas, etc. It only punishes the consumer.

This is a fashionable hobby concern, spend resources correcting homelessness, poverty and mental health before caving in to Liberal/NDP expensive distractions.

Might not fit well with general Conservative philosophy, but this has to happen. Be ahead of the curve, it's coming.

I don't like how you worded the previous question. I favour taxing carbon. Work with agriculture to develop methods to manage carbon like use of cover crops to sequester carbon. Be a leader in helping the environment.

Give incentive to industry who find clean energy uses. Encourage this as part of innovation. Give incentive for students to choose these paths in university.

There is no evidence supporting this tax. There is no need for carbon pricing. Modern agricultural practices actually sequester carbon. Meaning that you should be paying farmers for their efforts. Will Urbanites be willing to pay farmers for this?

I do not support carbon pricing of any kind.



## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Incentives to people to save

Businesss that are polluting the air should be charged for the amount of carbons being put in the air or else they should stop the production of carbons look at more innovation to reduce the amount .. rewarded for green innovation. New companies should not be allowed to start more carbon producing effects into our environment.

We should be investing in other areas of energy.

Companies that produce carbon emissions should pay a 15% carbon tax on all products they purchase that contribute to the emissions, and the money should be divided fairly between the province and country.

None I don't believe that this is a true / real enough issue to spend money on at this time

not taxing farmers or business

increase viability and efficiency of lower carbon producing equipment and practices. Instead of tax, offer incentives to reduce emissions. The movement after all is to reduce carbon pollution so make that practice more enticing and a desirable goal for the industry. Compliance will become the desire of the future if it is of benefit financially and practical.

Cap and trade.

Nothing. You're penalizing people for going to work and living here...

Figure out how to measure carbon sequestration potentials of various ecosystems such as forests, wetlands, grasslands and particularly farms (ie. perennial grasslands used for hay and pasture, etc)

We must tax carbon and then invest those funds in transition projects -- renewable and sustainable energy sources that do not displace Indigenous people.

Use any carbon tax funds for increasing sustainable infrastructures. Look toward Northern Europe as examples of sustainable infrastructure and development. Reduce urban sprawl. Provide incentives for people to build smaller and better homes (ie builder would receive more money for a smaller but better quality product)

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Carbon taxes should be on a use or abuse policy. If i'm using a fuel efficient car and grow food, or own treed land then reduced tax. If I burn gas with a huge truck then more taxes. Coal burning, oil churning, gas fracking and other excessively polluting actions even with emission controls in place should be taxed more. Our land is being polluted, because people can't control themselves. This tax though should be mostly spent to help find greener energies and improve those energy sectors to create more jobs.

No need for it. Although if the federal government follows through on their threat, simply give the money back to those that they take it from.

How about instead of taxing the users - who are dependent on cars in the city of Winnipeg - which has terrible bus service and is too spread out to get around using public transport, you should stop providing SUBSIDIES to fossil fuel PRODUCERS. Subsidize electric car purchases and put a carbon tax on the purchase of GAS GUZZLING cars and SUVs. I think this will be far more effective than a carbon tax on usage. And IF a carbon tax is implemented, money collected should NOT go to anything else BUT clean energy technology development (ie. TESLA).

This is a regressive idea that will hurt all Canadians. Do not adopt a carbon tax. If u want to give the mom n pop shop a fighting chance tax amazon and ebay etc for goods sold (not a tariff but call it protecting entrepreneurial families and taxes leaving our province)

All government fleet vehicles should be hybrid or 4 cylinder. I see large trucks and SUV's driving around with one government employee in them. Seriously! You need a V8 to transport one guy with a hard hat? He could get there in a Smart car just as easily. Lead by example!

Without a price on carbon we might as well devolve into anarchy until our dying days in 2030.

I fully support carbon pricing as long as the revenue is put back into reducing our carbon footprint. Home reno tax credits, low emission car tax credits, transit, infrastructure improvements.

The cost of implementation and continued charges of any climate change tax would be too costly for Manitobans and Canadians: it would hurt competitiveness against global giants who do not embrace this philosophy. It is not only financially painful through taxation, but may cost lost jobs too.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

I don't think Manitoba needs one - our power is 100% hydro, with extensive use of electric and natural gas heat - we're already very green, so what does a tax achieve? But if the federal government forces us, then craft something that not only gives us credit for what we've already done, but also credit for exporting green energy.

Add a tax on electricity like in Germany to fund solar and wind power. It will create many jobs in manitoba to install and maintain these systems.

....Our industries all have a carbon foot print. We are actually so small that in really is not worth the staff to look after it. The federal liberals in power will beat the farms, mills, mines, commercial fishermen down enough. It would be a political mistake to add to it. Discuss this with Saskatchewan Premier Brad Wall and Alberta Wildrose leader Brian Jean (next Premier of Alberta) the got it going on big time.

Fees on high emission vehicles.

these costs for various fuels are already way to high compared to the USA and what I think we should do is take a good portion of the Marijuana income the government will make next year and put that into programs to vastly reduce the cost of electric and hybrid vehicles to the point that they are cheaper than a regular fuel vehicle.

Cap & trade commodifies the issue. Industry should have to make change and adapt, not pay it's way out of sustainable development.

I am open to paying any carbon tax as long as it can be shown that the money raised from this is going towards making Manitoba a more environmentally responsible province.

better home energy rebates and eco friendly cars

Don't punish people for going 'off the grid' and make it easier to get properties off the grid and less reliant on fossil fuels.

I would consider the implications that China, India and other countries are having on carbon footprints before taxing our society when we are already ahead of the curve in environmentally friendly initiatives.

Tax all sources of greenhouse gases not just fuels. Use the dollars raised to provide credits for non carbon producing energy sources

I think Manitoba should be investing in better transportation systems to reduce pollution ie:

No tax

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Better and sustainable agriculture way and methods with long term outlook for the benefit of all Manitobans-Canada-world

Reward people and businesses that are meeting acceptable carbon uses. Punish those who are wasteful.

Wait and see what the US does.

Large penalties for those companies that are causing the problems

Rather than carbon taxes which negatively impact rural communities with limited access to public transportation, I would like to see subsidies for people who engage in clean energy.

Don't play this game...

No tax! On carbon. Regulate each industry differently in Mb. Ps any

/all taxes a business payed , I the consumer pay, to cover the taxes for the business.

Carbon tax is another way for govts to get money and make people/ business feel like they are helping. Climate change is not a foregone conclusion. Visit " [friendsofscience.com](http://friendsofscience.com)" and read about some very well documented alternatives to the current favoured hypothesis.

I don't know but it's expensive

I believe we need to aggressively tax carbon across the country in order to provide a strong incentive to move to more climate-friendly means of power generation and storage. It's odd that this budget calculator offers no option to include carbon taxes as revenue.

No ideas

Scrap it...no tax....or efforts are a waste until china India and USA reduce emissions

Revenue neutral with proceeds going to rebates on individual tax returns as well as investments into renewable energy (ex: hydro, wind farms)

companies creating the climate change should be the only ones paying. This way they will be forced to find efficiencies

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

We live in the cleanest part of the world. Imposing a carbon tax will further cripple farmers, who are already struggling to break even with the meteoric rise in land prices, land taxes, equipment and input costs. These huge increases at a time where crop prices are pathetic. If you impose a carbon tax, the net effect will be that the young farmers who have to come up with money to pay these insane input costs in addition to your carbon tax, will be driven to bankruptcy. The only farmers who will be able to weather the tax will be those who have paid off their land and are ready to retire. End of story: it is a nail in the coffin of the future of farming in Manitoba.

Just join the federal approach already. Quit wasting time and money on resisting it.

Very few people actually NEED a vehicle. Subsidies should be awarded to people who move to higher density accommodations, since higher density habitation is more cost effective for everyone as a whole. Instead of costing more money to live downtown, it should cost the same as living at the perimeter. Commuting is such a gargantuan waste of the planet's resources.

no new taxes

Tax the companies, not the people. Example: tax manitoba hydro for natural gas but provide a tax break to people lessening their carbon footprint through use of solar energy, wind power, etc.

Higher taxes on carbon fuels. Financial incentives for electric vehicles and photovoltaic systems.

The federal government has a plan, why can we not go along with it

A carbon tax may not be feasible, however a Cap and Trade system may work better for us as we generate clean hydro electric power, we should be benefiting from positive energy contribution, if Quebec likes that system it must be better than the carbon tax.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

None. No carbon taxes of any kind. We cannot afford to pay higher taxes. Our living standards are currently under pressure from our already outrageous levels of taxation. The average taxpayer, between federal and provincial income taxes, pays 29% of their income in taxes. Add to this another 13% in GST and PST and then property taxes, frontage levies, gasoline taxes, and taxes on business and 60% of every dollar earned is paid in taxes to the government who wastes more than 50% of the money that they spend on our services that could be provided by the private sector at half of the cost. All current forms of taxation should be eliminated because they are taxes on what is produced. A 60% level of taxation on what we produce is a deterrent to high productivity levels. Taxes should be levied only on what is consumed. All current forms of taxation on productivity should be replaced with one sales tax. Sales tax is fair because those who have a lot of money to spend pay higher taxes while poor people will pay less taxes.

Carbon pricing is an excuse for companies to raise prices while the government pays lip service to the environment while padding the pockets of those that purport to have the best interests of the citizenry in mind.

The carbon tax pricing should conform closely to the Federal Gov. plan. If you come up with some ...system it will only have to be changed to fit with a national program at some point. Changing things later will only cost more and be more difficult for everyone.

Extend the term in implementing the tax until technology has caught up this is hitting our economy at the wrong time.

Manitoba Public Insurance rates are the sole reason why the public isn't opting to convert to electric vehicles. If a significant reduction in the cost of insurance for electric cars and motorcycles was implemented, you'd see a growing trend in commuters making the switch to electric.

A revenue neutral carbon tax, or tax revenue devoted to developing green energy. This is the next big employment sector!

as long as china and the usa are not trying to cut carbon emissions whats the point??

I would only support it if it were neutral to businesses. Charge a small amount on all the fuel in the province and allocate it back to businesses.

No carbon tax. It will have no effect on climate and punish farmers who have already been hit by the additional costs of 0 emission Diesel engines.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Manitoba should adopt carbon tax that is consistent with the national initiative. We should also become national leaders in investing in green industry such as wind and solar, in punishing companies who refuse to operate in a clean and responsible manner, we need to invest in cleaning up our land air and water and bring in the toughest environmental protection laws in Canada.

I believe gasoline is super cheap and this does not stimulate any improvements in fuel efficiency Price of one liter should at least twice what it is now.

More wind and solar solutions

Sell more electrical power.

Plant trees for carbon emissions

Manitoba is a huge producer of hydro power, let us sell our power to other provinces which helps them reduce their carbon footprint.

Each province should come up with their own price to charge.

Alberta is slowly committing financial suicide with its carbon taxes. I voted conservative last election just to keep Manitoba far far far away from this new-age mess called "carbon tax".

What does a made in Manitoba approach mean? Who decides? Would a special panel be appointed? Of governmental and non-governmental bodies, representing various interests (not just that of the private sector)? How democratic would the process be?

Link carbon tax revenues directly to programs like technology innovation, low income tax brackets and emission reduction strategies instead of adding to general revenues.

Assist with costs of green energies like solar or wind.

Create a crown corporation or office that receives these funds directly and serves to combat global warming directly.

The current carbon tax formula will see some provinces exempt and Manitoba paying a larger portion.

It's a tax grab. Has nothing to do with climate change.

## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Climate change has to do with the moving of earth's axis more than pollution...penalize all the foreign investment in the oil and gas industry for not following Canadian guidelines in sustainable development and refurbishing land. Canada and Alberta need to get tougher on China, the US and Denmark. Big changes would happen!

Shut it down and give the people working those jobs, jobs managing those wind farms from previous.

I think the country as a whole should agree on the approach and it should be applied uniformly across the country

Change the way oil and gas is extracted and transported. Stop scamming the public every time you're short of funds. Eliminate waste and find efficiencies at the legislature and with MLA's first before you even contemplate dipping into the pockets of the citizenry... lead by example.

None!

Encourage energy efficient products. Encourage recycling & reuse things.

Manitoba needs to follow Saskatchewan and oppose a carbon tax. Our economy will be negatively impacted by imposing a carbon tax. Agriculture, resource based industries and rural areas will be hardest hit. Increased taxes will drive business away from Manitoba and will make businesses here less competitive. We need to make Manitoba a more business friendly province and carbon taxes are no way to do it!

made in Manitoba solutions don't work and they duplicate things that have already been thought of in other jurisdictions. Adopt what is used elsewhere to speed up the process.

Provide incentives for homes and businesses to use renewable resources. It will increase sustainability and the demand for electricity, which will use some of the new capacity we are building at Hydro.

Keep it simple. Use all funds to support environmental projects with a long term benefits.

The users have to be the payers, without penalising industry so hard that they choose to move elsewhere. Those who drive cars need to pay. Those who choose to walk or cycle, should not. I am a driver, and I am more than willing to pay my fair share.



## What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?

### Responses

Raise fuel taxes. This is a huge missed opportunity to fund infrastructure. Make the carbon tax impactful. \$10 per tonne will not change behaviour.

This is not the time yet for carbon pricing. Contractors cut down all kinds of trees on large areas of crown land and yet no trees are planted in acres and acres of land that sit there bare. What ever happened when a tree taken was a tree planted. And yet province supports programs for planting small trees. What goes around comes around. Studies showed that years ago. Tax grab if i ever seen one.

This is not the time to invest in bogus science that will cripple our economy.

Why spend money on highways if you are going to carbon tax the vehicles that use them.

I understand the need to be federally supportive, but as a senior with a fixed income, my concern is any tax that impacts costs for food, services, needs to be evaluated.

None. it is just a new name for more tax

Cancel any form of Carbon tax. Tax international travel. Tax import products. Tax coal. Tax diesel.

Cap & trade is another option, I'm unsure if which I prefer, I would prefer we all encouraged other countries to adopt similar programs

Innovation to reduce carbon. We are not the problem unless you get the major 5 countries to reduce their carbon we are wasting our time and will only make us less competitive

Invest in a rapid transit system that actually makes sense for Winnipeg. Lrt is my vote. If we actually had an efficient transit system it would take more cars off the road and we could spend less on road maintenance.

No options. No carbon pricing. Don't assume it needs to be "priced".

We have the most renewable recourse hydro electricity. ..what more do we need

A straight tax on tonnage. Cap and trade has no advantage other than not having the word tax in it. Right there is your red tape reduction

I would offer incentives for voluntary reduction in carbon pollution

I would suggest the BC model where personal income tax is reduced and consumer carbon pricing is introduced. As consumers we have the option to decide how much gas we use, how much we choose to drive., etc.

**What other options for carbon pricing would you consider or suggest?**

**Responses**

How much carbon do we get from vehicles? Emission testing used in California would be a good idea, subsidies for solar panels, cut property taxes for energy efficient homes, electric cars will not work in the North, (4 hour drive one way to go shopping), but in a city?

As far as I am concerned carbon pricing is just a scam by the government. It is a new TAX that is all. Manitoba is a large, spread out, and cold province. I live up north. Do you really think that more costly fuel will "encourage" me to use less, when I still have to drive and heat my home?

None. Canada has enough trees that process enough carbon emissions that we are in the green by a lot...While I agree the world is in a bad spot it is not because of Canada.

Subsidies for residential solar power and geothermal, electric and hybrid cars.

A conservative government in support of any type is turning its back on farmers of Manitoba.

enforcement of building standards and reviewing updating filtering systems with industries would go a long way. Carbon pricing is cash grab.

That is an unfair question. We should simply join forces with other provinces and the federal government in a pan-Canadian plan to reduce emissions and tackle climate change. We benefit from Alberta oil and gas, but can help to offset its production through things like more energy conservation projects.

Carbon tax. Vehicle mileage charges. Road tolls.